

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/757,756	Applicant(s) GEYER ET AL.	
	Examiner Baoquoc N. To	Art Unit 2162	

All Participants:

(1) Baoquoc N. To.

(2) David A. Dagg, Reg. No. 37,809.

Date of Interview: 29 May 2007

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
16

Prior art documents discussed:
6,361,777 and 6,201,859

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED

The applicant representative, David A. Dagg, Reg. No. 37,809 proposed an amendment to overcome the art rejection, the examiner agrees with the proposed amendment. The amendment filed on 06/01/2007 ^{has} overcome prior art rejection.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature, if appropriate)